

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,839 04/15/2002		04/15/2002	Christian Mazel	10172	1248
466	7590	10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &	THOMP	SON	DAVIS, DANIEL J		
745 SOUTH 2ND FLOOI		REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO		2202	3731		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Jul.			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/009,839		MAZEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		D. Jacob Da	vis	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statutor od will apply and will e tute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	September 200	<u>02</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non	ı-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except fo	r formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quay</i>	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4 and 8-12</u> is/are	e withdrawn fror	n consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5,6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.					
					Stage			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure 	•			Stage			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	•		ed.				
	,		,					
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	(08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/01</u> .	/	Other:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1 and 5-7 in the reply filed on September 2, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "a seat" in line 3. Claim 1 also recites "one seat." The result is a double inclusion since it is not clear whether two seats are claimed or one. Claim 6 recites, "the circular seat" in lines 2-3, which lacks antecedent basis.

In order to expedite prosecution, examiner recommends that applicant carefully review and properly amend all claims necessary to generally improve clarity where needed, and to correct all claims that may lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3731

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,193,721 to Michelson. Michelson discloses an orthopedic implant in Fig. 7 comprising bores 6, circular seats 20, and an elastically deformable retaining means illustrated in Fig. 29. The retaining means may also be considered an "open washer." The hole 24 is considered an opening.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose or suggest all of the limitations of claim 7 including, "the opening [is] placed above one of the bores."

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

PRIMARY EXAMINER